United States Court of Appeals FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-7017

September Term, 2018

1:16-cv-00740-RC

Filed On: July 26, 2019

Simon Bronner, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant The American Studies Association, et al.,

Appellants

٧.

Lisa Duggan, et al.,

Appellees

BEFORE: Millett, Pillard, and Wilkins, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion for summary affirmance, the supplement thereto, the opposition to the motion for summary affirmance, and the reply, it is

ORDERED that the motion for summary affirmance be denied. The merits of the parties' positions are not so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam).

Because the court has determined that summary disposition is not in order, the Clerk is instructed to calendar this case for presentation to a merits panel.

Per Curiam